

The Standard.

Entered as second-class matter at the Postoffice, Ogden, Utah, under Act of Congress, March 3, 1879.
Published Daily, except Sundays, by Wm. Glasmann.

SUBSCRIPTIONS.

One Month, in Advance, \$.75
Six Months, in Advance, 4.25
Twelve Months, in Advance, 8.00

Circulation Guarantee
This paper is guaranteed to be read by every person in the city of Ogden, Utah, who is over 16 years of age, and who is not a member of the church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.
This paper has proved by investigation that the circulation records are kept with care and the circulation stated with such accuracy that advertisers may rely on any statement of same made by the publishers under the ownership and management of the Standard, Aug. 20, 1908.

Advertising Rates for the EVENING STANDARD

Formulated Feb. 1st, 1909.
The Same Price to All

PRICE PER INCH EACH DAY.	Circulation Rates.	Just One Time.	Ad. to run Once week.	Ad. to run Twice week.	Ad. to run E. O. D.	Ad. to run Every day.
10c	10c	10c	10c	10c	10c	10c
20c	20c	20c	20c	20c	20c	20c
30c	30c	30c	30c	30c	30c	30c
40c	40c	40c	40c	40c	40c	40c
50c	50c	50c	50c	50c	50c	50c
60c	60c	60c	60c	60c	60c	60c
70c	70c	70c	70c	70c	70c	70c
80c	80c	80c	80c	80c	80c	80c
90c	90c	90c	90c	90c	90c	90c
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30
1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
1.60	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.60
1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70
1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80
1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90
2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
2.10	2.10	2.10	2.10	2.10	2.10	2.10
2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20
2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30
2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40
2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60
2.70	2.70	2.70	2.70	2.70	2.70	2.70
2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80	2.80
2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90
3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
3.10	3.10	3.10	3.10	3.10	3.10	3.10
3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20
3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30
3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40
3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60
3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70
3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80
3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90
4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10
4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20
4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30
4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40
4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60
4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70
4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90
5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10
5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20
5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30
5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40
5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50
5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60
5.70	5.70	5.70	5.70	5.70	5.70	5.70
5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80	5.80
5.90	5.90	5.90	5.90	5.90	5.90	5.90
6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
6.10	6.10	6.10	6.10	6.10	6.10	6.10
6.20	6.20	6.20	6.20	6.20	6.20	6.20
6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30
6.40	6.40	6.40	6.40	6.40	6.40	6.40
6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50	6.50
6.60	6.60	6.60	6.60	6.60	6.60	6.60
6.70	6.70	6.70	6.70	6.70	6.70	6.70
6.80	6.80	6.80	6.80	6.80	6.80	6.80
6.90	6.90	6.90	6.90	6.90	6.90	6.90
7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10
7.20	7.20	7.20	7.20	7.20	7.20	7.20
7.30	7.30	7.30	7.30	7.30	7.30	7.30
7.40	7.40	7.40	7.40	7.40	7.40	7.40
7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50
7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60
7.70	7.70	7.70	7.70	7.70	7.70	7.70
7.80	7.80	7.80	7.80	7.80	7.80	7.80
7.90	7.90	7.90	7.90	7.90	7.90	7.90
8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
8.10	8.10	8.10	8.10	8.10	8.10	8.10
8.20	8.20	8.20	8.20	8.20	8.20	8.20
8.30	8.30	8.30	8.30	8.30	8.30	8.30
8.40	8.40	8.40	8.40	8.40	8.40	8.40
8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50
8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60
8.70	8.70	8.70	8.70	8.70	8.70	8.70
8.80	8.80	8.80	8.80	8.80	8.80	8.80
8.90	8.90	8.90	8.90	8.90	8.90	8.90
9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10
9.20	9.20	9.20	9.20	9.20	9.20	9.20
9.30	9.30	9.30	9.30	9.30	9.30	9.30
9.40	9.40	9.40	9.40	9.40	9.40	9.40
9.50	9.50	9.50	9.50	9.50	9.50	9.50
9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60
9.70	9.70	9.70	9.70	9.70	9.70	9.70
9.80	9.80	9.80	9.80	9.80	9.80	9.80
9.90	9.90	9.90	9.90	9.90	9.90	9.90
10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00

LETTERS MADE PUBLIC.

"Dear Alex: You did me a great injustice when you bought the waterworks, but I will forgive you and contribute liberally to your campaign. This is sub rosa. (Signed) Matt."

"Good Old Boy Matt: Got your welcome letter this a. m. We must not be seen together. Note what you say as to contributions to my campaign. Things look dark, but you are a silver-lining to our darkest cloud. One good turn, you know, deserves another. I appreciate your little joke 'a great injustice.' Don't forget about the money. (Signed) Alex."

"Dear Alex: Sneak around tonight. Have something to tell you. Did you read the 'Political Drama' in the Standard last evening? I'm afraid inside information is being disclosed. In haste. (Signed) Matt."

"P. S.—What has Charley done with his mazzamas? Make him dig up—Matt."

"P. S.—You folks made a tremendous blunder in doctoring the waterworks report—Matt."

THE POLITICAL DRAMA IS CRITICIZED.

One of the Brewer writers does not quite understand the "Political Drama in One Act." He can not reconcile the story of the wells on Matt Brown's body with the facts. We are in the same frame of mind.

As the author of the drama says, "He is puzzled," so are we. Brewer claims to have robbed Matt of the valuable part of the waterworks, yet Matt retained the essence of value, and that which seems to be is not—another contradiction. So again we are as puzzled as the critic and the author.

It may be the author of the play has intentionally carried out the idea of contradictions and he has waited for some cunning critic to step into the trap.

Of course there are irreconcilable situations. No one understands why Matt should have great wells on his body and at the same time rejoice that the sore spots are from the lashings of Alex Brewer.

Perhaps the playwright will explain these inconsistencies or admit they are inexplicable.

We hope though he will not indulge

PRESENT THIS 50c COUPON
AT
Any of Our 100 Stores
And Get Free Any 50c Article
In our China and Crochery Dept.
Free With \$1.00 Purchase Tea or Coffee—or any 25c Article
Free with 60c Purchase Tea or Coffee, Your Own Selection.
Free! Free! Free!
This Coupon Must Be Presented at Time of Purchase
Great American Importing Tea Co.
340 25th St. Oct. 22

THE REPUBLICAN CITY TICKET

FOR MAYOR,
WILLIAM GLASMANN.
FOR RECORDER,
CARL ALLISON.
FOR AUDITOR,
FLORENCE O. STANFORD.
FOR TREASURER,
THOS. B. FARR.
FOR ATTORNEY,
J. H. DE VINE.
FOR MUNICIPAL JUDGE,
J. D. MURPHY.
FOR COUNCILMAN 1ST WARD,
JOHN AUSTIN.
FOR COUNCILMAN 2ND WARD,
J. H. LARSON.
FOR COUNCILMAN 3RD WARD,
J. J. BARKER.
FOR COUNCILMAN 4TH WARD,
PARLEY T. MOYES.
FOR COUNCILMAN 5TH WARD,
G. A. DICKSON.

Put the lever at the Beehive and you vote the above ticket and thereby vote for honesty, economy, the enforcement of the law and a business administration for Ogden City.

In such expressions as, "They only put on those warlike costumes instead of bathing suits for to take a plunge," as he can save so much effort by saying, "For a plunge." Some one may accuse him of inelegance in expression, which is excusable in the hurry and bustle of a newspaper man's work, but never in the product of a playwright or a critic.

There are a number of solecisms in the critic's criticism which lead us to believe that the critic needs no commission to pronounce upon his mental condition.

The critic hints that the drama will prove to be a farce. With Matt and Alex playing their respective roles, why not?

COME AGAIN, SAM.

A Brewer paid "ad" in the Morning Examiner says:

"Sam Browning says the people are getting acquainted with William Glasmann and have made up their minds what to do with him on election day."

But Sam fails to tell whether this is to make him mayor or retain him as a private citizen. Sam can rest assured that whatever the people do in the matter will be entirely agreeable to Mr. Wm. Glasmann. The latter knows the big job that is before the next mayor of Ogden and is quite willing to escape the responsibility, if the people so will it.

But Sam, do you know the people were getting acquainted with you? Why did you sign that waterworks report knowing there was \$10,776 in warrants outstanding that were not mentioned in the report? Do you think, Sam, that such crooked work will please the people?

Come again, Sam.

WHAT A SPLENDID RECORD (?)

Another Brewer paid advertisement in the Morning Examiner says: "The splendid record made by the Brewer administration will elect the whole Democratic ticket."

If it is a splendid record to owe over \$124,000 against the general fund, after deducting all cash on hand, then Brewer is entitled to re-election.

If it is a splendid record to say that the water department has \$9,894 in cash on hand after all debts are paid when the auditor's books show that the department is short \$230.50, then Brewer is entitled to re-election.

If it is a splendid record to say the waterworks department has made \$21,000 profit, when there has been expended \$14,000 more than the receipts, then Brewer is entitled to be re-elected.

Such splendid showings ought to interest the people one way or the other.

WATERWORKS CANNOT BE SOLD.

Another Brewer "ad" in the Morning Examiner says: "A man who aspires to be mayor of Ogden should state if he thinks the city should dispose of the waterworks." This is absolutely right. William Glasmann says he is opposed to selling the city waterworks for many reasons. One of them is that the constitution of the state says that when a city once owns a waterworks, the waterworks can never be sold again. That ought to settle the question forever. Mr. Glasmann says he will make the waterworks the best conducted department of the city. Ogden's waterworks system was once sold before Utah became a state and before the present laws were enacted which forbid the sale of such public utilities. The Brewer people know this, but are unscrupulous enough to try to fool the people.

Another Brewer paid "ad" in the Morning Examiner, says: "The confidence shown by those in attendance at the Democratic headquarters last night indicates that the Democrats will be elected by the biggest major-

VOTE THIS TICKET

ity in the history of Ogden." Well, well. That does not accord with the statement of the Democrat who called at Republican headquarters, and said, "If the making of the false waterworks report does not beat every Democrat on the ticket, it is because the people of Ogden like to be hoodwinked." Take your choice of either statement.

WHY THE BREWERITES DODGE THE ISSUE.

The hired workers of the Brewerites are taking great pains to tell the people that William Glasmann promised to buy the waterworks when elected mayor the first time—eight years ago. Now, such statements are misleading. The facts are as follows:

In the year 1901, the Republican party nominated William Glasmann for the office of mayor, and the Republican platform said, "We favor securing the waterworks by condemnation." That meant that a jury of Ogden citizens should be called into court to hear testimony on the cost of building the system, and that whatever the jury fixed as the price, the court would decree that the waterworks company should sell at.

Nearly every Democrat and Republican lawyer in Ogden at that time said that the water system could be condemned and bought in that way.

Mr. Glasmann believed the attorneys had examined the matter and that it could be done, and, in a speech in the Opera house, Mr. Glasmann said that ninety days after the condemnation proceedings started the city should own the waterworks. Now that is the only promise Mr. Glasmann ever made. He tried in good faith to carry out that plan.

But another promise he made was to stop the useless and expensive litigation over the waterworks. Up to that time it was said something like \$30,000 had been expended in waterworks litigation. The people's money was being squandered in law suits. When, therefore, Mr. Glasmann was elected mayor, he, with the city attorney, looked up the law and became doubtful about being able to condemn without an act of the legislature authorizing cities to condemn waterworks. Under the old law the cities were authorized to condemn waterworks, but when the Utah State laws were revised the right to condemn was omitted.

The present laws authorize cities to condemn water and water rights, but not existing waterworks. But to be sure about the matter, the city council, at the request of the mayor, asked for a written opinion from the following attorneys: T. D. Johnson, A. W. Agee, J. D. Murphy and George McCormick, and every one of them, formerly of the opinion that the city could condemn the waterworks, stated that under the then existing state law the city had no right to condemn an existing waterworks system used for the same purpose the city intended to use the system.

The decision of the supreme court of the United States plainly said the authority from the state legislature must be direct and specific, and that no general right to condemn under the rights of the law of eminent domain would be sufficient.

Therefore Mayor Glasmann, instead of plunging the city into a suit that would go to the supreme court of the United States and cost the city thousands of dollars, only to lose, paid \$200 for attorneys' fees and received Democratic and Republican opinions that the waterworks could not be condemned under the Utah laws.

Mr. Glasmann then appeared before the next session of the Utah legislature, and he was able to have a bill passed in the lower house to permit Ogden to condemn the water system, but in the state senate the bill was defeated on a tie vote, one of the owners of the waterworks, then a member of the state senate, defeating the bill.

It will be seen whatever promise Mr. Glasmann made, was made after practically every Democratic and Republican attorney had advised him it could be done and was only prevented from realization because the state laws forbid.

If Mr. Glasmann promised to condemn, he did everything that could be done to keep the promise. President Taft was in Arizona and New Mexico last week and promised to get statehood for those territories, and he was answered that the same promise had been made before and failed of fulfillment, and the President replied:

"Well, of course a man cannot do any more than promise and then try to carry it out. I do think that you may have had in times past reason to complain because of promises made that were not fulfilled. I don't know. I am not sufficiently versed in the history, and therefore perhaps you have a right to distrust me; but heretofore I have tried to tell the truth, tried to carry out such promises as I have made."

Here is the whole thing in a nut-

shell. Did Mr. Glasmann try to carry out the promise of the Republican platform? If he did, he did it at a reasonable man could expect. So well were the people of Ogden convinced on this matter, that they re-elected Mr. Glasmann in 1903 by the biggest majority ever given a mayor of Ogden.

But why do the Brewer people bring up that question? They bring this matter up in their paid advertisement in the Examiner. They have their workers drumming it into the people's ears. Why? Just to take the people from the real issue of the campaign. The issue is: Brewer's fitness for the office—not what was promised nine years ago.

The people re-elected Mr. Glasmann on his waterworks record. Why? Because they said Mr. Glasmann did right in not saddling a tremendous mortgage on the homes of all the people of Ogden.

A BANKER WOULD JEOPARDIZE HIS LIBERTY.

The report signed by the inner circle of the city administration is so palpably doctored as to be inexcusable and beyond justification or satisfactory explanation.

Were the officers of a national bank to falsify the condition of the bank by similar methods, discovery would lead to but one place and that a place of retirement where guards pace up and down and large iron doors swing on heavy hinges.

Another Brewer paid "ad" in the Morning Examiner says: "Mr. Glasmann told his audience at the Weber Stake Academy that he would not contribute one dollar towards his election." The statement is only half true. Mr. Glasmann said he would not contribute one dollar toward making himself mayor, other than the assessment to his central committee. Read his speech and then it will not be necessary to call any one a liar.